

A World Made To Order

By Willie Martin

The events of the last few years leads us the unescapable fact that the many prophetic developments in science, economics, politics, and religion that have occurred in our lifetime. There is today a mad rush toward a New World Order. Why is this revision of Nimrod's Babel concept of government a sign of the nearness of Christ's return? Because we read in Revelation 13 that at some point in time there will be a One World Government, headed by a world dictator, who will control all politics, economics, and religions on earth. And the power who will bring him forward, is the same power that cause the original 13th Amendment to get lost in the shuffle. (We believe that this conclusion is supported by Daniel 2,7,9; Matthew 24; Luke 21; 2 Thessalonians s; and Revelation 17 & 18)

This is an absolute international government authority in our future? Due to the increase in travel and communications, all the world is at our doorstep; whether we like it or not, world political process molders see a World Government as the only answer to gain total control of all the wealth of the world.

World War I, in which nations on all five continents were involved, was to prepare the world for a One World Government. Thus, the League of Nations was given birth, but it died and untimely death because some, mainly the United States, was not ready to surrender even limited national sovereignty to a world governmental body.

World War II was, louder and more frantic than the first because a new weapon of destruction was in evidence that could destroy entire nations in hours. Thus, in 1945 at the world was convinced to accept the United Nations which was actually formed in 1941 just a few days after the United States entered the war. So the world had a New International Governmental Institution, called the United Nations, which the world was told the avowed purpose was to finally bring peace on earth by inducing nations to beat their swords into plowshares.

However, the U.N. did not recognize the Creator who divided the nations and set the bounds of their habitations. (Acts 17:26) Nor did the U.N. acknowledge God's Prince of peace who would come and rule all nations with a rod of iron. Man could do it all by himself, no help was needed or wanted.

In the succeeding fifty years, there has been the cold war, revolutions, rebellions, civil wars, and so-called peacekeeping wars like Angola, Somalia, Korea, Vietnam, the Congo, Middle East wars, Bosnia, and dozens of others. Yet, in spite of all these evidences that man is inherently an aggressor and cannot live at peace with his fellow man, behind the scenes men dedicated to the imposition of One World Government.

They are seeking to disarm the people of the United States because they know America is the only threat to their plans to subjugate the entire world under their iron foot. For example, we refer you to a document issued by the United States Department of State, dated September 1961, 25 years ago. It is Department of State publication 7277, Disarmament Series 5, Bureau of Public Affairs. In 1961 a copy could be obtained form the superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., price 15 cents. It was bolstered and up help with Public Law 87-197,

H.R. 9118 and also dated September 21, 1961.

According to these documents, it was the plan and purpose of the Kennedy Administration to completely disarm the U.S. and surrender all military facilities to the United Nations. The title of this document, 19 pages in length, is Freedom From War, the United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World.

In the introduction on page one, the document states that the United States introduced at the sixteenth General Assembly of the United Nations "a Program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World."

This plan constituted three stages in effecting the destruction of all weapons of war except those maintained by a United Nations army:

- 1). Immediate Disarmament Action;
- 2). Effective International Controls;
- 3). Adequate Peacekeeping machinery established.

Under the third and final stage we read: "This can only be achieved through the progressive strengthening of international institutions under the United Nations and by creating a United Nations Peace Force to enforce the peace as the disarmament process proceeds."

On page three, in regards to attaining "freedom from war," we read: "The disbanding of all national armed forces and the prohibition of their reestablishment in any form whatsoever other than those required to preserve internal order and for contributions to a United Nations Peace Force; the elimination from national arsenals of all armaments, including all weapons of mass destruction and the means of their delivery, other than those required for a United Nations Peace Force for maintaining internal order."

Thus, the goal of the United States government in 1961 was to completely disarm all nations, and give the weapons of mass destruction only to U.N. armies stationed within individual nations.

On page five, the disarmament process concerning nuclear weapons is addressed, proposing that presently held national weapons with delivery systems be destroyed, and preventing the making of such weapons and delivery systems by new nations.

Evidently, only the United Nations Peace Army is to have weapons of mass destruction. The first stage also calls for the Reduction of the armed forces of the United States and the Soviet Union to 2.1 million men each (page 7).

According to the 1995 World Almanac, as of September 30, 1993, the number of men and women in the United States armed services had been reduced to 1.7 million, and in Russia to 2.7 million. In the past two years, with the continuing reduction of armed forces of both nations, these numbers have been reduced further. And we read further on page seven that as Russian and United States Armed Forces are reduced, "U.N. peacekeeping power would be strengthened...the establishment in State II of a permanent U.N. Peace Force."

On pages eight and nine of this outline presented by the United States government in 1961 for the elimination of the United States army, navy, and air force, and the creation of a U.N. army to enforce world government, we read: "Further substantial reductions in the armed forces, armament, and military establishments of states... Establishment of a permanent international peace force within the United Nations...The dismantling or the conversion to peaceful uses of certain military

bases and facilities wherever located..."

Now does it not seem strange that in spite of all the regional wars, the cold war, and international differences and political tensions, this plan presented in 1961 by our own government has been followed to the letter? The armed forces of both Russia and the United States have been reduced already far below the number proposed for State II, and our naval ships are being decommissioned, put in mothball inactivity, and our military bases are being dismantled and turned into Political Prisoner detention camps!

We come now to the third state, where we read on page nine and ten: "States would retain only those forces, non-nuclear armaments, and establishments required for the purpose of maintaining internal order; they would also support and provide agreed manpower for a U.N. Peace Force. The U.N. Peace Force equipped with agreed types and quantities of armaments would be fully functioning. The manufacture of armaments would be prohibited except for those of agreed types and quantities to be used by the U.N. Peace Force. All other armaments would be destroyed or converted to peaceful purposes. The peacekeeping capabilities of the United Nations would be sufficiently strong and the obligations of all states under such arrangements sufficiently far-reaching as to assure peace and the just settlement of differences in a disarmed world."

OF COURSE, NO NATION WOULD RETAIN ANY CAPABILITY TO OPPOSE ANY U.N. ORDER OR DIRECTIVE. THIS WOULD CONSTITUTE THE FORMATION OF THE NEW WORLD ORDER, AN INTERNATIONAL DICTATORSHIP.

Pages eleven through nineteen of this document outline the formation of the U.N. world government and how it is to function. All national government units will, of course, be subservient to the will of the central U.N. government.

In May 1994, Presidential Decision Directive #25 was issued by the President of the United States. It is titled: The Clinton Administration's Policy on Reforming Multilateral Peace Operations. This 15-page 8" x 11" document outlines plans for the inclusion of U.S. armed forces in a unilateral U.N. peace keeping army. It coincides in most points with the 1961 government document, The United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World. The opening paragraph reads: "The President signed this directive, following the completion of extensive consultations with Member of Congress. This paper summarizes the key elements of that directive."

Please keep in mind that the largest part of Presidential Decision Directive # 25 has been classified "Secret" because of so-called National Security reasons. Which always means that it is something that the government does not wish the American people to know, because they would not approve of it.

it is not stated with whom the members of Congress the president consulted, and only part of the directive is actually in the printed copy citizens are able to obtain.

Item 3 states: "...the President will never relinquish command of U.S. forces. However, as Commander-in-Chief, the President has the authority to place U.S. forces under the operational control of a foreign commander when it serves American security interests."

President Clinton states without qualification that as commander-in-chief, he does not have the authority to place U.S. armed forces under United Nations command. PDD #25 continues to affirm that in the future there will be no soldiers or armies, there will only be "peacekeeping forces," "peacekeepers," and "peacekeeping operations."

This is in direct compliance to Article 9, sec. 4-6 of the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion, which predicted the events that have transpired all over the world in the last few years; Somalia, Grenada, Panama, Bosnia, Iraq, and now Serbia; there are others to be sure, but they are far too numerous to list here.4). The principal factor of success in the political is the secrecy of its undertakings; the word should not agree with the deeds of the diplomat.

"There is only one Power which really counts: The Power of Political Pressure. We Jews are the most powerful people on Earth, because we have this power, and we know how to apply it." (Jewish Daily Bulletin, July 27, 1935)

5). WE MUST COMPEL THE GOVERNMENTS OF THE GOYIM TO TAKE ACTION IN THE DIRECTION FAVORED BY OUR WIDELY-CONCEIVED PLAN, ALREADY APPROACHING THE DESIRED CONSUMMATION, BY WHAT WE SHALL REPRESENT AS PUBLIC OPINION, SECRETLY PROMPTED BY US THROUGH THE MEANS OF THAT SO-CALLED "GREAT POWER" THE PRESS, WHICH, WITH A FEW EXCEPTIONS THAT MAY BE DISREGARDED, IS ALREADY ENTIRELY IN OUR HANDS.

6). In a word, to sum up our system of keeping the governments of the goyim in Europe in check, we shall show our strength to one of them by terrorist attempts and to all, if we allow the possibility of a general rising against us, WE SHALL RESPOND WITH THE GUNS OF AMERICA OR CHINA OR JAPAN.

"The Jew continues to monopolize money, and he loosens or strangles the throat of the state with the loosening or strengthening of his purse strings...He has empowered himself with the engines of the press, which he uses to batter at the foundations of society. He is at the bottom of...every enterprise that will demolish first of all thrones, afterwards the altar, afterwards civil law." (Hungarian composer Franz Liszt (1811-1886) in Die Israeliten)

Item 4 proposes 11 steps in improving and strengthening the U.N. peace force. Item 5 reads in part: "...the Department of Defense will take lead management and funding responsibility for those U.N. operations that involve U.S. combat units, and those that are likely to involve combat."

The president evidently foresees American soldiers fighting under United Nations command. The scope and location of such combat zones are not limited or qualified. Already U.S. forces were under U.N. command in the 50s during the Korean War, again in Desert Storm in 1991-1992, in Somalia in 1993-1994 and then President Clinton volunteered to place a 25,000 so-called "peacekeeping force" in Bosnia. "In some cases, the appropriate way to perform peace operations will be to involve regional organizations. The U.S. will continue to emphasize the U.N. as the primary international body with the authority to conduct peacekeeping operations. At the same time, the U.S. will support efforts to improve regional organizations' peacekeeping capabilities...With respect to the question of peacekeeping in the territory of the former Soviet Union, requests for 'traditional' U.N. blue-helmeted operations will be considered on the same basis as the basis of other requests...U.S. support for these operations will, as with other such requests, be conditioned on adherence to the principles of the U.N. Charter." And now has ordered the bombing of Serbia.

There is no definition of regional organizations within the context of PDD #25. Gorbachev, speaking before the Council on Foreign Relations, proposed that the world be divided into ten regions, and that he be made a regional governor.

If this is the type of regions covered in PDD #25, then the regional forces would be divisions of the U.N. Division IV on pages 7-9 relate to the strengthening U.N. authority at the regional, state, city, and community levels. These proposals include: "Small Public Affairs Cell dedicated to

supporting on-going peace operations and disseminating information within host countries in order to reduce the risks to U.N. personnel and increase the potential for mission success.

Small Civilian Police Cell to manage police missions, plan for the establishment of police and judicial institutions, and develop standard procedures, doctrine, and training.

Training Civilian Reserve Corps to serve as a ready external talent pool to assist in the administration, management, and execution of U.N. peace operations.

Modest airlift capability available through pre-negotiated contracts with commercial firms or member states to support urgent deployments.

Finally, the U.N. should establish a professional Peace Operations Training Program for commanders and other military and civilian personnel."

We have quoted a few of the references to local political and logistical U.N. support groups, and we note that no definitions as to what a "small Civilian Police Cell" or "modest airlift capability" would be. Evidently some conservatives must have collaborated with the president and State Department on PDD #25 as some statements mention that the president's authority as commander-in-chief must remain inviolate, while other sections, like the one on page nine, stress that the president does and will have the authority to place U.S. forces under "competent U.N. commanders" as authorized, not by Congress or the American people, but by the "Security Council of the United Nations." On page eleven PDD #25 insists that the president will keep congressional leaders informed about foreign policy and "U.N. command and control arrangements when U.S. military units participate in U.N. operations." Permission evidently will not be sought, but the president will keep Congress informed.

As to how being placed under U.N. command and wearing U.N. peacekeeping uniforms relates to the individual soldier, we quote from a Netcom news item dated August 26, 1995: "U.S. Soldier Refuses U.N. Uniform - On Monday, August 28, Spec. Michael New, a medic with the 3rd Infantry, currently stationed in Germany, was ordered to report to the Judge Advocate General's office, to be assigned a lawyer, who was to defend him against charges which could lead to his being dishonorably discharged and/or sentenced to prison. Spec. Michael New's only crime is that he had advised his superiors that he would not violate his oath (to defend the Constitution of the United States of America) by wearing the uniform (blue beret, blue helmet, or U.N. arm-band) of a foreign army. Spec. Michael New's unit had been ordered to deploy to Yugoslavia in the near future to serve as U.N. 'Peace Keepers.' Speck. Michael New said, 'I took an oath to the Constitution of the United States of America. I can't find the United Nations in there anywhere. it is not clear to me why I have to change my uniform in order to represent my country.' The army says that Spec. Michael New and, indeed the whole army, is not there to represent the United States of America, rather, to represent the United Nations. Spec. Michael New wants to know by what authority can they transfer his loyalty without his permission?"

This year U.S. citizens were informed that the Internal Revenue Service would begin collecting additional information to store in computer banks. Revised IRS form #8822 of May 1995 states: "We ask for this information to carry out the Internal Revenue laws of the United States. We may give this information to the Department of Justice and to other federal agencies, as provided by law. We may also give it to cities, states, the commonwealths, or possessions to carry out their tax laws. And we may give it to foreign governments because of tax treaties they have with the United States."

Gradually, U.S. citizens are discovering that nothing anymore is private or sacred. We are all rapidly becoming citizens of world government. Texans are discovering that the NAFTA treaty permits unsafe truck traffic from Mexico, with untrained drivers, to clog Texas highways. NAFTA, GATT, and WTO have further worsened our U.S. balance and trade problems. According to the

September 21, 1995, edition of the Wall Street Journal, the U.S. foreign trade gap has worsened to \$12 billion a month so instead of an annual \$60 billion deficit, it may grow to a \$150 billion deficit. Recently, upon visiting a new, super one-half-million square foot home and garden store that opened within one-half mile of his office a man found that in the thousands of items for sale in that store, it was difficult to find one made in the United States. Most of these items for home and farm consumption are now made by slave or child labor, making it impossible for American industry to compete. Welcome to the New World Order!

In Genesis 11:25 we are told that in the days of Peleg the earth was divided into continents and islands, and the people began to cry that they would be scattered abroad. So they built the Tower of Babel as an escape that they might remain as one language, one government. Likewise today, there is a shaking of our national foundations, and we hear the cry that the New World Order is our only hope for survival. But as one read Matthew 24:6: "...all these things must come to pass, but the end is not yet." But, how close is the end; how close is the day when a world government headed by one dictator will have power over all kindreds, and tongues, and nations, and all the unsaved world worship him as a god? (Revelation 13:7-8) Many scoff and disagree, but we believe this age is rapidly winding down. The Apostle Peter said of the last days that scoffers would arise saying, "Where is the promise of his coming? for since the fathers fell asleep, all things continue as they were from the beginning of the creation." (2 Peter 3:4) But the apostle continued, "The Lord is not slack concerning his promise...but is longsuffering to us-ward, not willing that any should perish, but that all should come to repentance. But the day of the Lord will come as a thief in the night..."

Again, we might ponder the question that we see today in the promotion and formation of the New World Order in relation to what was planned thirty-five years ago; is it just a coincidence? Is there really a conspiratorial power clique guiding world affairs to reach a common end? Or is it the prince of the unsaved secular world, Satan, moving his pawns to establish his own kingdom, over the kingdoms (nations) of this world? (2 Thessalonians 2:3-4) Even in light of all the evidence that Jesus Christ could return at any time, as Christians in these times our mission is clear. We must be Watchmen, and warn our brothers and sisters of the danger facing them in the near future.